

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,205	01/04/2002	Dirk Hogan	10016717	8436
7:	590 01/04/2006		EXAM	INER
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			TRAN, NGHI V	
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration 00		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2151	
			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/038,205	HOGAN, DIRK				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi V. Tran	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	•			
I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on the open filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statebove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month the example patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the) and the appropriate ext The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 28 November 2005. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant, anonamon	(, , == == ,).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None.		,				
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	out before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessation.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. 🛮 The request for reconsideration has been considered be	REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
	ZARNI MAUNG					
	ZAMNI MAONG	KAMINER				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's argument is not persuasive because Taylor teaches a step of comparing the initial configuration state (i.e. stage 1) indicated by the change command to the current configuration state (stage 2) of the network. For example, Taylor suggest initiating a hot copy process (i.e. initial configuration state as stage 1, see col.5, Ins.60-66 and fig.1). Further, Taylor discloses if the priority of the host copy process is relatively high, then the client processor may experience some delay in fullfillment of its data access request and if the priority of the hot copy process is low, then the client processor des not experience significant delay in fullfillment of its data access requests [col.6, Ins.11-17]. Therefore, Taylor suggests a step of comparing based on its priority paramenter.